



Oversight and Governance

Chief Executive's Department

Plymouth City Council

Ballard House

Plymouth PL1 3BJ

T 01752 305155

www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy

Published 08/10/24

Delegated Decisions

Delegated Executive/Officer Decisions

Delegated Executive and Officer decisions are published and are available at the following link - <https://tinyurl.com/ms6umor>

Cabinet decisions subject to call-in are published at the following link - <http://tinyurl.com/yddrql6>

Notice of call-in for non-urgent decisions must be given to the Democratic Support Team by 4.30 pm on Tuesday 15 October 2024. Please note – urgent decisions and non-key Council Officer decisions cannot be called in. Copies of the decisions together with background reports are available for viewing as follows:

- on the Council's Intranet Site at <https://modgov/mgDelegatedDecisions.aspx>
- on the Council's website at <https://tinyurl.com/jhnax4e>

The decisions detailed below may be implemented on Wednesday 16 October 2024, if they are not called-in.

Delegated Decisions

I. Councillor Mark Coker (Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Transport):

Ia. SPT07 24/25 - 2137329 – Sherford – Traffic Calming

(Pages 1 - 14)

EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – SPT07 24/25

Decision			
1	Title of decisions: 2137329 – Sherford – Traffic Calming		
2	Decision maker: Councillor Mark Coker (Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Transport)		
3	Report author and contact details: Amy Neale, Highway Safety Engineer, email: trafficmanagementinbox@plymouth.gov.uk		
4	Decision to be taken: To add the following to Sherford Road: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raised table at the junction with Gemini Road • Priority system from a point 6 metres east of the boundary between 77 & 79 Sherford Road for a distance of 27 metres in an easterly direction • Mini roundabout at the junction with Nettlehayes/Hartwell Avenue 		
5	Reasons for decision: As part of the current works to Sherford, there are proposals to install traffic calming as per the Section 106 Obligation on Sherford Road. Making the highway safer for public use & safe footway access in set areas.		
6	Alternative options considered and rejected: This is a part of a section 106 obligation and therefore, there were no other options considered for this scheme.		
7	Financial implications and risks: The scheme and associated works are being funded by the section 106 developer contributions.		
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support for further advice)	Yes	
		No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which: in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total
			in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million

			X	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions	N/A		
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	The Local Transport Plan (LTP) details the transport strategies and policies that the City Council has adopted and will be key in helping the city meet its Corporate Plan priorities, and growth agenda.		
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)	None.		
Urgent decisions				
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public?	Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)
		No	X	(If no, go to section 13a)
12a	Reason for urgency:			
12b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:		Date	
	Scrutiny Committee name:			
	Print Name:			
Consultation				
13a	Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?	Yes		
		No	X	(If no go to section 14)
13b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?			
13c	Date Cabinet member consulted			

14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?	Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer	
		No	X		
15	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?	Name	Karime Hassan		
		Job title	Interim Strategic Director for Growth		
		Date consulted	23 September 2024		

Sign-off

16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:	Democratic Support (mandatory)	DS57/24/25		
		Finance (mandatory)	DJN.24.25.100		
		Legal (mandatory)	LS/2960(18)/JP/260924.		
		Human Resources (if applicable)	N/A		
		Corporate property (if applicable)	N/A		
		Procurement (if applicable)	N/A		

Appendices

17	Ref.	Title of appendix
	A	Briefing report for publication
	B	Equalities Impact Assessment

Confidential/exempt information

18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?	Yes		If yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Part II') briefing report and indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box in 18b below. (Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain)
		No	X	

Exemption Paragraph Number

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18b	Confidential/exempt briefing report title:						


Background Papers

19 Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.
 Background papers are unpublished works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

Title of background paper(s)	Exemption Paragraph Number						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Cabinet Member Signature

20 I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council’s policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council’s duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.

Signature		Date of decision	08/10/2024
Print Name	Councillor Mark Coker (Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Transport)		



SHERFORD ROAD

1. INTRODUCTION

This report seeks delegated authority to implement traffic calming on Sherford Road.

2. TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS REQUIRED

2.1 The elements that need a Traffic Regulation Order are as follows:

There are no elements of this scheme that requires a Traffic Order. However, the decision to be taken is to add the following to Sherford Road:

- Raised table at the junction with Gemini Road
- Priority system from a point 6 metres east of the boundary between 77 & 79 Sherford Road for a distance of 27 metres in an easterly direction
- Mini roundabout at the junction with Nettlehayes/Hartwell

3. STATUTORY CONSULTATION

Proposals

The proposals for the scheme were advertised on street, in the Herald and on the Plymouth City Council website on 2nd September 2024. Details of the proposals were sent to the Councillors representing the affected wards and statutory consultees on 30th August.

There has been 1 representation received during the statutory consultation process relating to the proposals. This comment was in full support of the scheme.

4. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

5. LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When considering whether to make a traffic order it is the Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (as amended) that sets out that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable subject to certain matters, to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in and around Plymouth and provide for suitable and adequate associated parking facilities.

This page is intentionally left blank

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – [SHERFORD ROAD]

SECTION ONE: INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPOSAL

Author(s): The person completing the EIA template.	Amy Neale	Department and service:	Place / Traffic Management Team	Date of assessment:	23/09/2024
Lead Officer: Head of Service, Service Director, or Strategic Director.	Mike Artherton	Signature:	<i>M. Artherton</i>	Approval date:	23/09/2024
Overview:	As part of the current works to Sherford, there are proposals to install traffic calming as per the Section 106 Obligation on Sherford Road. Making the highway safer for public use & safe footway access in set areas.				
Decision required:	To add the following to Sherford Road: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raised table at the junction with Gemini Road • Priority system from a point 6 metres east of the boundary between 77 & 79 Sherford Road for a distance of 27 metres in an easterly direction • Mini roundabout at the junction with Nettlehayes/Hartwell Avenue 				

SECTION TWO: EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT SCREENING TOOL

Potential external impacts: Does the proposal have the potential to negatively impact service users, communities or residents with protected characteristics?	Yes		No	x
Potential internal impacts: Does the proposal have the potential to negatively impact Plymouth City Council employees?	Yes		No	x
Is a full Equality Impact Assessment required? (if you have answered yes to either of the questions above then a full impact assessment is required and you must complete section three)	Yes		No	x

If you do not agree that a full equality impact assessment is required, please set out your justification for why not.	We only received one comment during the consultation period which was in full support of the scheme. The scheme is to ensure the highway is safer for the public.
--	---

SECTION THREE: FULL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Protected characteristics (Equality Act, 2010)	Evidence and information (e.g. data and consultation feedback)	Adverse impact	Mitigation activities	Timescale and responsible department
--	--	----------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------------

Age	<p>Plymouth</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 16.4 per cent of people in Plymouth are children aged under 15. • 65.1 per cent are adults aged 15 to 64. • 18.5 percent are adults aged 65 and over. • 2.4 percent of the resident population are 85 and over. <p>South West</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15.9 per cent of people are aged 0 to 14, 61.8 per cent are aged 15 to 64. • 22.3 per cent are aged 65 and over. <p>England</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17.4 per cent of people are aged 0 to 14. • 64.2 per cent of people are aged 15 to 64. • 18.4 per cent of people are aged 65 and over. <p>(2021 Census)</p>			
------------	---	--	--	--

<p>Care experienced individuals (Note that as per the Independent Review of Children’s Social Care recommendations, Plymouth City Council is treating care experience as though it is a protected characteristic).</p>	<p>It is estimated that 26 per cent of the homeless population in the UK have care experience. In Plymouth there are currently 7 per cent of care leavers open to the service (6 per cent aged 18-20 and 12 per cent of those aged 21+) who are in unsuitable accommodation.</p> <p>The Care Review reported that 41 per cent of 19-21 year old care leavers are not in education, employment or training (NEET) compared to 12 per cent of all other young people in the same age group.</p> <p>In Plymouth there are currently 50 per cent of care leavers aged 18-21 Not in Education Training or Employment (54 per cent of all those care leavers aged 18-24 who are open to the service).</p> <p>There are currently 195 care leavers aged 18 to 20 (statutory service) and 58 aged 21 to 24 (extended offer). There are more care leavers aged 21 to 24 who could return for support from services if they wished to.</p>			
<p>Disability</p>	<p>9.4 per cent of residents in Plymouth have their activities limited ‘a lot’ because of a physical or mental health problem.</p> <p>12.2 per cent of residents in Plymouth have their activities limited ‘a little’ because of a physical or mental health problem (2021 Census)</p>			

<p>Gender reassignment</p>	<p>0.5 per cent of residents in Plymouth have a gender identity that is different from their sex registered at birth. 0.1 per cent of residents identify as a trans man, 0.1 per cent identify as non-binary and, 0.1 per cent identify as a trans women (2021 Census).</p>			
<p>Marriage and civil partnership</p>	<p>40.1 per cent of residents have never married and never registered a civil partnership. 10 per cent are divorced, 6 percent are widowed, with 2.5 per cent are separated but still married.</p> <p>0.49 per cent of residents are, or were, married or in a civil partnerships of the same sex. 0.06 per cent of residents are in a civil partnerships with the opposite sex (2021 Census).</p>			
<p>Pregnancy and maternity</p>	<p>The total fertility rate (TFR) for England was 1.62 children per woman in 2021. The total fertility rate (TFR) for Plymouth in 2021 was 1.5.</p>			

<p>Race</p>	<p>In 2021, 94.9 per cent of Plymouth’s population identified their ethnicity as White, 2.3 per cent as Asian and 1.1 per cent as Black (2021 Census)</p> <p>People with a mixed ethnic background comprised 1.8 per cent of the population. 1 per cent of the population use a different term to describe their ethnicity (2021 Census)</p> <p>92.7 per cent of residents speak English as their main language. 2021 Census data shows that after English, Polish, Romanian, Chinese, Portuguese, and Arabic are the most spoken languages in Plymouth (2021 Census).</p>			
<p>Religion or belief</p>	<p>48.9 per cent of the Plymouth population stated they had no religion. 42.5 per cent of the population identified as Christian (2021 Census).</p> <p>Those who identified as Muslim account for 1.3 per cent of Plymouth’s population while Hindu, Buddhist, Jewish or Sikh combined totalled less than 1 per cent (2021 Census).</p>			
<p>Sex</p>	<p>51 per cent of our population are women and 49 per cent are men (2021 Census).</p>			
<p>Sexual orientation</p>	<p>88.95 per cent of residents aged 16 years and over in Plymouth describe their sexual orientation as straight or heterosexual. 2.06 per cent describe their sexuality as bisexual, 1.97 per cent of people describe their sexual orientation as gay or lesbian. 0.42 per cent of residents describe their sexual orientation using a different term (2021 Census).</p>			

SECTION FOUR: HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

Human Rights	Implications	Mitigation Actions	Timescale and responsible department

SECTION FIVE: OUR EQUALITY OBJECTIVES

Equality objectives	Implications	Mitigation Actions	Timescale and responsible department
<p>Work together in partnership to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ promote equality, diversity and inclusion ▪ facilitate community cohesion ▪ support people with different backgrounds and lived experiences to get on well together 			
<p>Give specific consideration to care experienced people to improve their life outcomes, including access to training, employment and housing.</p>			
<p>Build and develop a diverse workforce that represents the community and citizens it serves.</p>			
<p>Support diverse communities to feel confident to report crime and anti-social behaviour, including hate crime and hate incidents, and work with partners to ensure Plymouth is a city where everybody feels safe and welcome.</p>			

This page is intentionally left blank